S1 € €	.2.a.the page 1 Introduction to Statistics Authors : Bruce Carpenter, Bill Davis, Michael Raschke and Jerry Uhl Publisher : Math Everywhere, Inc. Distributor & Translator: MathMonkeys, LLC
	Adapted from Prob/Stat by : <u>Robert Curtis.</u>
A	STAT.01 Simulations <i>Tutorials T1</i>
	Experience with the starred problems will be useful for understanding developmed Graphics Primitives The variables $(x, s, t, z, y)$ are independent of each other $\checkmark$ . T.1) Monte Carlo estimation of area measurements Solution on Neumann and Stanislaw Ulam were great mathematicians of the early to midtwentieth century. Working together on the original atomic bomb in the 1940's, they devised the Monte Carlo method for doing approximate calculation for problems intractable by hand. You'll see many Monte Carlo simulations in this course. T.1.a) Monte Carlo estimation of the area of geometric objects Here's a nice triangle, defined via the function $f(x)$ and the x-axis below, whose area we can calculate easily using our geometric area formulas from high school geometry. $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ xhigh = 10 $\bigcirc$ $f(x) = \begin{cases} 3x & (x < 4) \\ -2x + 20 & (x \ge 4) \\ \odot & y = f(x) \end{cases}$



Use uniformly distributed points and the Monte Carlo method to co the measurement of the area between the plotted curve and the x.

## **Answer**

 $\bigcirc$  Put a box around everything:



Your box does not have to touch everything in the area under measureme But, the area under measurement must be inside your box.

eq Generate 3000 uniformly distributed random points inside the bo



1) pointsunder = those points {x, y} under or on the curve and

2) pointsover = those points  $\{x, y\}$  over the curve



pointsunder consists of the points {x, y} for which y  $\leq$  f[x].
pointsover consists of the points {x, y} for which y > f[x].

🔍 The Monte carlo idea:

Because the random points are approximately uniformly distribute

$$\frac{A}{Area enclosed by the box} \approx \frac{A}{Total number of random points under curv}$$

so that:

$$A \approx \left(\frac{\text{Number of random points under curve}}{\text{Total number of random points inside box}}\right) (\text{Area}$$

Try it out:



S1.2.athe page 7  
Tabulate yRanNums<sub>5</sub> with   
Tabulate yRanNums<sub>6</sub> with   
Tabulate yRanNums<sub>7</sub> with   
Tabulate yRanNums<sub>9</sub> with   
Tabulate yRanNums<sub>1</sub>[k] 
$$\leq f[xRanNums_1{k}]$$
  
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>1</sub> = 413 *Calculate*  
UnderCount<sub>2</sub> = 401 *Calculate*  
UnderCount<sub>2</sub> = 401 *Calculate*  
UnderCount<sub>3</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_1{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_3{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>3</sub> = 418 *Calculate*  
UnderCount<sub>4</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_1{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_4{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>5</sub> = 410 *Calculate*  
UnderCount<sub>5</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_5{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_5{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>6</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_6{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_6{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>6</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_7{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_6{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>7</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_7{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_7{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>7</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_8{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_7{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>7</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_8{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_8{k}]$   
 $\bigtriangleup$  UnderCount<sub>7</sub> =  $\frac{1000}{k_{-1}^{-1}}(yRanNums_8{k}] \leq f[xRanNums_8{k}]$ 

S1.2.a.the page 8 • UnderCount  $_{9} = \sum_{k=1}^{1000} (yRanNums_{9}[k] \le f[xRanNums_{9}[k]])$  $\triangle$  UnderCount <sub>9</sub> = 400 *Calculate* BoxArea = ( xhigh - xlow )( yhigh - ylow )  $\triangle$  BoxArea = 150 Calculate • Estimate  $_1 = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_1}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>1</sub> = 61.95 *Calculate* • Estimate  $_2 = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_2}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>2</sub> = 60.15 *Calculate* • Estimate  $_{3} = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_{3}}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>3</sub> = 62.7 *Calculate* • Estimate  $_{4} = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_{4}}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>4</sub> = 58.5 *Calculate* • Estimate  $_{5} = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_{5}}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>5</sub> = 61.5 *Calculate* • Estimate  $_6 = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_6}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>6</sub> = 61.35 *Calculate* • Estimate  $_7 = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_7}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>7</sub> = 58.05 *Calculate* • Estimate  $_{8} = \frac{\text{UnderCount}_{8}}{1000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle$  Estimate <sub>8</sub> = 60.75 *Calculate* UnderCount <sub>9</sub> BoxArea • Estimate  $_9 = \triangle$  Estimate  $_{o} = 60$  Calculate eq Look at the average of the 10 Monte Carlo estimates:

 $\bigcirc$  The Law of Large numbers says:

If your experience was typical, then the average of these Monte ( estimates is a close enough approximation of A for most government work.

T.1.b) Monte Carlo estimation of another area measurement

Here is a non-regular hexagon. Non-regular refers to the fact that the sides of this hexagon are not equal. A Bee would never made such an ugly honeycomb because they would not stack nicely together.

We can find the area of this non-regular hexagon by slicing up the geometric region into triangles, each of which we can compute the area of easily.



- Use uniformly distributed points and the Monte Carlo method to estimate the measurement of the area enclosed by the non-regulation
- Answer
  - ♥ First we need to measure the area of this non-regular hexagon using basic geometric formulas. The easiest way to accumulate this area is by splitting up this non-regular hexagon into sub-triangles and sub-trapezoids. Remember these basic area formulas from high school geometry

$$\Box \square \text{ AreaTriangle } = \frac{1}{2}(b h)$$

$$\Box$$
 AreaTrapezoid =  $\frac{1}{2}b(h_1 + h_2)$ 

 $n_1$  and  $h_2$  are the heights of each side of the trapezoid

 $\blacksquare$  Here is the split up of this non-regular hexagon:

```
xlow = -2
xhigh = 2
V = (-2,0], [-1, 1.5], [1, 1.1], [2,0], [1.3, -1], [-0.5, -1.4], [-2,0])
```







We manually read off the area formulas for these 6 geometric regions:



S1.2.a.the page 12  $\Box A_4 = \frac{1}{2}bh$  $\triangle A_4 = 0.35$  Substitute 🔍 🔍 5th Trapezoid b = 1.3 - (-0.5) $h_1 = 1.4$  $\Box h_2 = 1$  $\Box A_{5} = \frac{1}{2}b(h_{1} + h_{2})$  $\triangle A_5 = 2.16$  Substitute 🛛 🔍 6th Triangle \_ *b* = 1.5 n h = 1.4 $\Box A_6 = \frac{1}{2}bh$  $\triangle A_6 = 1.05$  Substitute  ${igodol}$  And then copy/paste those answers into this Case Theory below •  $A_1 = 0.75$ •  $A_2 = 2.6$ •  $A_3 = 0.55$ •  $A_4 = 0.35$ •  $A_5 = 2.16$ •  $A_6 = 1.05$ Upper Hexagon region  $\Box \sum_{n=1}^{3} A_{n}$  $\triangle \sum_{n=1}^{3} A_n = A_3 + A_2 + A_1$ Expand  $\triangle \sum_{n=1}^{3} A_n = 3.9 \quad Calculate$ Lower Hexagon region

$$\Box_{n} \sum_{i=4}^{6} A_{n}$$

$$\bigtriangleup_{n} \sum_{i=4}^{6} A_{n} = A_{6} + A_{5} + A_{4} \quad Expand$$

$$\bigtriangleup_{n} \sum_{i=4}^{6} A_{n} = 3.56 \quad Calculate$$

$$\textcircled{R} \text{Full Hexagon}$$

$$\Box_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{6} A_{n}$$

$$\bigtriangleup_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{6} A_{n} = A_{6} + A_{5} + A_{4} + A_{3} + A_{2} + A_{1} \quad Expand$$

$$\bigtriangleup_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{6} A_{n} = 7.46 \quad Calculate$$

Now, we will use the Monte Carlo Method to try to see if we get near this answer using the Probabilistic method for computing area. First we will deal with the upper part of the hexagon, and we need to get a function f(x) made up of linear segments as the "top hat" of this hexagon. Finding the linear equations involved is easy using the Point-Slope form of a line:







 $\widehat{\Subset}$  Getting the other line equations in the same way is easy, too:

• xlow = -2  
• xhigh = 2  
• 
$$V = (-2, 0), [-1, 1.5], [1, 1.1], [2, 0], [1.3, -1], [-0.5, -1.4], [-2, 0])$$
  
• 1st line segment  
•  $f_1(x) = \frac{1.5 - 0}{-1 - (-2)}(x - [-1]) + 1.5$  Red  
• 2nd line segment  
•  $f_2(x) = \frac{1.1 - 1.5}{1 - (-1)}(x - 1) + 1.1$  Purple  
• 3rd line segment  
•  $f_3(x) = \frac{0 - 1.1}{2 - 1}(x - 2) + 0$  Green

Then put these 3 linear equations into a piecewise-defined function to "glue them together"









Now that we have a "top hat" function, we can proceed with the Monte Carlo Method estimation for the area of this region. First step, put a big box around the entire upper hexagon region.







 $\bigcirc$  Generate 2000 uniformly distributed random points inside the bo

) • xlow = -2 • xhigh = 2• ylow = 0 • yhigh = 2 • V = (-2,0], [-1,1.5], [1,1.1], [2,0], [1.3, -1], [-0.5, -1.4], [-2,0])1st line segment •  $f_1(X) = \frac{1.5 - 0}{-1 - (-2)}(X - [-1]) + 1.5 \quad \textcircled{Red}$ 2nd line segment •  $f_2(X) = \frac{1.1 - 1.5}{1 - (-1)}(X - 1) + 1.1 \quad \textcircled{Purple}$ Intersection of the segment •  $f_3(X) = \frac{0-1.1}{2-1}(X-2) + 0 \quad \textcircled{Green}$ Piecewise-Defined Glued function •  $f(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} f_1(\mathcal{X}) & (-2 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < -1) \\ f_2(\mathcal{X}) & (-1 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < 1) \\ f_3(\mathcal{X}) & (1 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < 2) \end{cases}$  $\bigcap x = \operatorname{Random}(\operatorname{xlow}, \operatorname{xhigh})$ Tabulate xRanNums with  $\bigcap y = \text{Random}(\text{ylow}, \text{yhigh})$ Tabulate yRanNums with





The Monte carlo idea:

Because the points are approximately uniformly distributed, you (

Area enclosed by curve Number of random points inside curv Area enclosed by the box Total number of random points inside I so that:

Area enclosed by curve ≈

Number of random points inside curve Total number of random points inside box

Area enc

Try it out:

S1.2.a.the page 19 •  $f_2(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1.1 - 1.5}{1 - (-1)}(\mathcal{X} - 1) + 1.1 \quad \textcircled{Purple}$ Intersection of the segment •  $f_3(X) = \frac{0-1.1}{2-1}(X-2) + 0$  @ Green Piecewise-Defined Glued function  $\bullet f(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} f_1(\mathcal{X}) & (-2 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < -1) \\ f_2(\mathcal{X}) & (-1 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < 1) \\ f_3(\mathcal{X}) & (1 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < 2) \end{cases}$  $\bigcap x = \operatorname{Random}(\operatorname{xlow}, \operatorname{xhigh})$ Tabulate xRanNums with  $\Box y = \text{Random}(\text{ylow}, \text{yhigh})$ Tabulate yRanNums with  $\bigcirc$  BoxArea = ( xhigh – xlow )( yhigh – ylow )  $\bigcirc$  BoxArea = 8 Calculate  $\Box \text{ Count} = \sum_{k=1}^{2000} (\text{ yRanNums}[k] \le f[\text{ xRanNums}\{k\}])$  $\triangle$  Count = 968 *Calculate*  $\Box$  AreaEstimate =  $\frac{\text{Count}}{2000}$  BoxArea  $\triangle \text{ AreaEstimate} = \frac{484}{125} \quad Substitute \\ \triangle \text{ AreaEstimate} = 3.872 \quad Calculate$ 

S1.2.a.the



S1.2.a.the

page 21

• 
$$f(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} f_1(\mathcal{X}) & (-2 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < -1) \\ f_2(\mathcal{X}) & (-1 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < 1) \\ f_3(\mathcal{X}) & (1 < \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{X} < 2) \end{cases}$$

EiveMath Note: Making 20 Tabulates can get pretty tiring. So here in this example we do the same computations, but with some functional magic. Everytime these functions get Calculated for each input k, the output is a random number. Notice no k on the right-hand -side, because the random number is not dependent upon the k value. • xRandoms(k) = Random(xlow, xhigh) yRandoms(k) = Random(ylow, yhigh)  $\cap$  xRandoms(3)  $\land$  xRandoms(3) = 1.21859053899243 Calculate • fCounts  $(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{2000} (yRandoms[k] \le f[xRandoms\{k]])$  $\bigcap$  fCounts(1)  $\land$  fCounts(1) = 969 *Calculate*  $\bigcap$  fCounts(2)  $\triangle$  fCounts(2) = 962 *Calculate* BoxArea = ( xhigh - xlow )( yhigh - ylow ) • AreaEst $(n) = \frac{\text{fCounts}(n)}{2000}$  BoxArea 🗋 AreaEst (1)  $\wedge$  AreaEst(1) = 4.064 Calculate 🗋 AreaEst (2)  $\land$  AreaEst (2) = 3.808 Calculate 🗋 AreaEst (3)  $\land$  AreaEst (3) = 3.828 Calculate ☐ AreaEst (4)  $\land$  AreaEst (4) = 3.944 Calculate AreaEst(5)  $\land$  AreaEst (5) = 3.852 Calculate AreaEst (6)  $\wedge$  AreaEst (6) = 3.8 Calculate

S1.2.athe page 22  
AreaEst (7)  
AreaEst (7) = 3.932 Calculate  
AreaEst (8)  
AreaEst (8) = 3.864 Calculate  
AreaEst (9) = 3.828 Calculate  
AreaEst (10)  
AreaEst (10) = 3.856 Calculate  
The average of the 10 Monte Carlo estimates is:  

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ AreaEst (10) = 3.856 Calculate \\ Average = 3.924 Calculate \\ We can perform the area of this upper region of the hexagon was measured previously at 3.9. \\ We can perform the analogous computations for the lower half of the hexagon:
$$\bigcirc @$$

$$xlow = -2 • xhigh = 2 \\ Note: the ylow and yhigh change since we are doing the lower part of the hexagon 
$$ylow = -2 • yhigh = 0 \\ V = (1-2, 0), [-1, 1.5], [1, 1.1], [2, 0], [1.3, -1], [-0.5, -1.4], [-2, 0]) \\ AreaEst (2) = -1.4 - (-1) (2 - [-0.5]) - 1.4 @ Purple \\ Sth line segment \\ F_{4}(2) = -1.4 - (-1) (2 - [-0.5]) - 1.4 @ Purple \\ Gth line segment \\ F_{6}(2) = -(-1.4), [2 - [-2]] + 0 @ Green \\ Piecewise-Defined Glued function \\$$$$$$



page 23



S1.2.a.the page 24 Note: this sum set up below changes because we are below the x-axis now. • fCounts( $\widehat{m}$ ) =  $\sum_{k=1}^{2000} (f[xRandoms\{k\}] \le yRandoms[k])$ BoxArea = ( xhigh - xlow )( yhigh - ylow ) • AreaEst  $(n) = \frac{\text{fCounts}(n)}{2000}$  BoxArea 🗆 AreaEst (1)  $\land$  AreaEst(1) = 3.404 *Calculate* ☐ AreaEst(2)  $\land$  AreaEst (2) = 3.54 Calculate ☐ AreaEst(3)  $\wedge$  AreaEst(3) = 3.488 Calculate 🗋 AreaEst (4)  $\triangle$  AreaEst (4) = 3.7 Calculate 🗋 AreaEst (5)  $\land$  AreaEst (5) = 3.584 Calculate 🗋 AreaEst (6) Calculate  $\land$  AreaEst(6) = 3.512 ☐ AreaEst(7)  $\land$  AreaEst (7) = 3.572 Calculate ☐ AreaEst(8)  $\wedge$  AreaEst (8) = 3.556 Calculate  $\cap$  AreaEst(9) Calculate  $\wedge$  AreaEst(9) = 3.544 🗆 AreaEst (10)  $\land$  AreaEst (10) = 3.38 *Calculate* The average of the 10 Monte Carlo estimates is: Average =  $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{10} \text{AreaEst}(k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{10} \text{AreaEst}(k)}$  $\triangle$  Average = 3.5508 Calculate That is very nice! The area of this lower region of the hexagon was measured previously at 3.56.

S1.2.a.the

page 25

Because of the Law of Large Numbers, the smart money bets that the average was not all that bad.

**₹ T.1.c)** 

Monte Carlo estimation of another area measurement

 $\bigcirc$  Here's a very strange geometric region indeed:



We could break up this geometrical object into circles, rectangles, triangles, trapezoids, and compute the area of each subobject, and then add'em up to get the area.

Instead, let's use uniformly distributed points to estimate the meas of the area enclosed by the curve.

## Answer

 $\bigcirc$  Put a box around the big geometric object:



Generate 2500 uniformly distributed random points inside the bo

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcirc & \bigotimes \\ & \bigotimes \\$$



Try it out

 $\bigcirc$ • xlow = -3 • xhigh = 22• xlow = -3 • xnign =  $\angle \angle$ • ylow = 0 • yhigh = 15  $\int \sqrt{9 - \varkappa^2} + 2 \quad (-3 \le \varkappa)(\varkappa < 3)$ 2  $(3 \le \varkappa)(\varkappa < 5)$ 3 $(\varkappa - 5) + 2 \quad (5 \le \varkappa)(\varkappa < 8)$ 0.5 $(\varkappa - 8) + 11 \quad (8 \le \varkappa)(\varkappa < 10)$   $(-2)(\varkappa - 10) + 12 \quad (10 \le \varkappa)(\varkappa < 14)$   $\sqrt{16 - (\varkappa - 18)^2} + 4 \quad (14 \le \varkappa)(\varkappa \le 22)$ EiveMath Note: Using the functional approach to generating random numbers as demonstrated in the previous example



Now, average to try to get a better estimate:



Well, now the no fun part: we should really check the areas of these geometric regions to make sure this magic really works.

$$\bigcirc \bigotimes \\ \textcircled{(1)} \\ \end{matrix}{(1)} \\ \textcircled{(1)} \\ \textcircled{(1)} \\ \end{matrix}{(1)} \\ \end{matrix}{(1)} \\ \textcircled{(1)} \\ \textcircled{(1)} \\ \end{matrix}{(1)} \\ \end{matrix}{$$



S1.2.a.the page 31  

$$A_{10} = (22 - 14) \cdot 4$$

$$A_{10} = (22 - 14) \cdot 4$$

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^{10} A_{k}$$

$$A = A_{10} + A_{9} + A_{8} + A_{7} + A_{6} + A_{5} + A_{4} + A_{3} + A_{2} + A_{1}$$

$$A = 161.769908169872$$
Calculate

Because of the Law of Large Numbers, the smart money bets that the average was not all that bad: 161.76 vs. 161.805

This electonic material is © 2010 by MathEverywhere, LLC, licensed to MathMonkeys, LLC. For more information, visit www.livemath.com



۲